UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

CASE No.: 1:04 CV 354
JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.

Currently pending before the court are a Motion to Enforce Settlement (ECF No. 73) and a Motion to Show Cause (ECF No. 74) by Plaintiff Rita J. Locke-Calhoun. This case was concluded on May 26, 2006, when the court entered an Order of Dismissal With Prejudice. Such Order was entered in the context of the court's having concluded that the parties had reached an enforceable Settlement Agreement at the mediation conference in the within case. The material terms of that settlement are set forth in an Addendum to the court's Order of Dismissal, which was placed under seal. In the Motion to Enforce, she seeks to require that the proceeds of the settlement be turned over to her. In the Motion to Show Cause, she also seeks to have Defendants comply with the Settlement Agreement and seeks an order requiring Defendants to show cause why they should not

Case: 1:04-cv-00354-SO Doc #: 77 Filed: 03/30/07 2 of 2. PageID #: 427

be held in contempt of court for revealing information regarding the escrow account containing the

settlement proceeds to her former counsel who sought to place a lien on those proceeds.

Defendants indicate they have now paid funds over to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff's former

counsel, who had sought a restraining order in an action before the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas

Court, Robert Smith III vs. Rita J. Locke-Calhoun, CV 06-596622, withdrew his motion for

restraining order on July 28, 2006. He continued to seek a ruling from the court that he was entitled

to a portion of the settlement proceeds.

Inasmuch as the funds, minus any amounts that were withheld for taxes and other required

deductions, have been paid to Ms. Locke-Calhoun, and that Defendants revealed information

regarding the escrow accounts only to Plaintiff's former counsel who made a claim on a portion of

such funds, the court finds that Defendants did not materially breach the Settlement Agreement in

a manner which requires them to be held in contempt. Therefore, the court hereby denies the Motion

to Enforce Settlement (ECF No. 73) and the Motion to Show Cause (ECF No. 74).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

March 29, 2007